

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,486	03/12/2004	Timothy Graham Bradley	BLD920040003US1	8390
36491	7590 09/13/2006		EXAMINER	
KUNZLER & ASSOCIATES			UHLENHAKE, JASON S	
8 EAST BROA	ADWAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111		•	2853	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/799,486	BRADLEY, TIMOTHY GRAHAM		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jason Uhlenhake	2853		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>	<u>uly 2006</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5-7, 10, 13, 17, 20-22, 23, 25, 27, 29, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291).

Yoshimura et al discloses:

- **regarding claim 1,** a pressurized ink chamber configured to contain an electrorheological ink, the pressurized ink chamber in fluid communication with a nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59)
- a stimulator (pressure generation device) configured to generate a synchronization signal to increase the pressure in the pressurized ink chamber (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59; Column 4, Lines 7-15); and an electrode arrangement configured to create an electric field to control a flow of the electrorheological ink at the nozzle (Abstract)
- **regarding claim 5,** the electrode arrangement (26,26A) comprises one or more electrodes circumscribing a portion of the nozzle (10) (Figure 2)
- regarding claims 6, 21, the electrode arrangement is configured to create an electric field to stop the flow of the electroheological ink in the nozzle (Column 2, Line 67 Column 3, Line 3)

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claims 7, 22,** the electrode arrangement is configured to create an electric field to slow the flow of the electroroheological ink in the nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 5- 11)

Page 3

- regarding claim 10, a print control module configured to receive a print signal (record information); a synchronization signal module configured to control the synchronization signal generated by the stimulator (pressure generation device); an electrode control module configured to synchronize a voltage level at the electrode arrangement with the synchronization signal and the print signal (Column 2, Line 55 Column 3, Line 26)
- **regarding claim 13,** a viscosity control module configured to control the viscosity of the electrorohelological ink as the electrorhelogical ink discharges from the nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59)
- regarding claim 17, computer readable storage medium comprising computer readable code configured to carry out a method for electrorhelogical printing, comprising; pressurizing an electrorheological ink in an ink chamber, the ink chamber in fluid communication with a nozzle; generating a synchronization signal, the synchronization signal increasing the pressure in the pressurized ink chamber; and creating an electric field to control a flow of the electrorheological ink at the nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59; Column 4, Lines 7-15);
- regarding claim 20, wherein controlling the flow of the electrorheological ink at the nozzle comprises changing the viscosity of the electrorheological ink

 (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59)

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claim 23,** discharging a drop of the electrorheological ink from the nozzle (10) (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43)

- regarding claim 25, method further comprises receiving a print signal
 (record information) (Column 2, Line 55 Column 3, Line 26)
- **regarding claim 27,** controlling the viscosity of the electrorheological ink as the electrorheological ink discharges from the nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43)
- **regarding claim 29,** a method for electrorhelogical printing, comprising; pressurizing an electrorheological ink in an ink chamber, generating a synchronization signal, the synchronization signal increasing the pressure in the pressurized ink chamber; and creating an electric field to control a flow of the electrorheological ink at the nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59; Column 4, Lines 7-15);
- regarding claim 30, electrorhelogical printing, comprising; means for pressurizing an electrorheological ink in an ink chamber; means for generating a synchronization signal, the synchronization signal increasing the pressure in the pressurized ink chamber; and means for creating an electric field to control a flow of the electrorheological ink at the nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59; Column 4, Lines 7-15);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2853

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Wiedermer (U.S. Pat. 7,042,476)

Yoshimura et al discloses all the claimed limitations above except for the following:

regarding claim 2, the electrode arrangement comprises a ring electrode
 pair having a first ring electrode and a second ring electrode

Wiedemer discloses:

- **regarding claim 2,** the electrode arrangement comprises a ring electrode pair having a first ring electrode (50) and a second ring electrode (52) (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 18-26), for the purpose of allowing high print speed at a higher print resolution

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of the electrode arrangement comprises a ring electrode pair having a first ring electrode and a second ring electrode as taught by Wiedemer into the device of Yoshimura, for the purpose of allowing high print speed at a higher print resolution.

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) as modified by Wiedermer (U.S. Pat. 7,042,476) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Shibata (U.S. Pat. 6,296,347).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2853

Yoshimura et al as modified by Wiedermer discloses all the claimed limitations above except for the following:

- regarding claim 3, the first ring electrode is connected to a first electrical lead and the second ring electrode is connected to a second electrical lead

regarding claim 4, the first electrical lead is connected to a reference
 voltage and the second electrical lead is connected to a power supply, the power supply
 configured to supply a voltage that is different from the reference voltage

Shibata discloses:

- regarding claim 3, the first ring electrode is connected to a first electrical lead and the second ring electrode is connected to a second electrical lead (Column 2, Lines 60-64; Column 5, Lines 29-38), for the purpose of incorporating a recording apparatus capable of eliminating unevenness in image density

- **regarding claim 4,** the first electrical lead is connected to a reference voltage (Column 6, Lines 3-10) and the second electrical lead (back electrode) is connected to a power supply, the power supply configured to supply a voltage that is different from the reference voltage (Column 4, Lines 54-65), for the purpose of incorporating a recording apparatus capable of eliminating unevenness in image density

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of the first ring electrode is connected to a first electrical lead and the second ring electrode is connected to a second electrical lead; the first electrical lead is connected to a reference voltage and the second electrical lead is connected to a power supply, the power supply configured to supply a

Art Unit: 2853

voltage that is different from the reference voltage as taught by Shibata into the device of Yoshimura et al as modified by Wiedermer, for the purpose of incorporating a recording apparatus capable of eliminating unevenness in image density

Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Abe (U.S. Pat. 6,406,133)

Yoshimura discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- **regarding claim 8,** a plurality of nozzles forming a nozzle array and the electrode arrangement is one of a plurality of electrode arrangements, each electrode arrangement disposed to control a flow of the electrorheological ink at one of the plurality of nozzles
- **regarding claim 9,** the flow of the electrorheological ink at each nozzle of the nozzle array is independently controlled

Abe discloses:

- **regarding claim 8,** a plurality of nozzles forming a nozzle array and the electrode arrangement is one of a plurality of electrode arrangements, each electrode arrangement disposed to control a flow of the electrorheological ink at one of the plurality of nozzles (Column 14, Lines 9-15), for the purpose of controlling the ink discharge from each nozzle independently
- **regarding claim 9,** the flow of the electrorheological ink at each nozzle of the nozzle array is independently controlled (Column 14, Lines 9 15), for the purpose

Art Unit: 2853

of producing a highly reliable electrostatic ink jet head can be produced at high precision and at low costs

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of a plurality of nozzles forming a nozzle array and the electrode arrangement is one of a plurality of electrode arrangements, each electrode arrangement disposed to control a flow of the electrorheological ink at one of the plurality of nozzles; flow of the electrorheological ink at each nozzle of the nozzle array is independently controlled as taught by Abe into the device of Yoshimura, for the purpose of controlling the ink discharge from each nozzle independently and producing a highly reliable electrostatic ink jet head can be produced at high precision and at low costs

Claims 11, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Mitchell Jr. (U.S. Pat. 5,362,427).

Yoshimura et al discloses all the claimed limitations except for the following:

- regarding claims 11, 24, control module configured to de-energized the electrode arrangement about when the synchronization signal and the print signal are enabled

Mitchell Jr. discloses:

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claims 11, 24,** control module configured to de-energized the electrode arrangement about when the synchronization signal and the print signal are enabled (Claim 8), for the purpose of controlling the flow of ink.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of control module configured to deenergized the electrode arrangement about when the synchronization signal and the print signal are enabled as taught by Mitchell Jr. into the device of Yoshimura et al, for the purpose of controlling the flow of ink.

Claims 12, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Shima et al (U.S. Pat. 5,801,730).

Yoshimura et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

- **regarding claim 12, claim 26,** a pump control module configured to control a pump to control the pressure in the pressurized ink chamber

Shima et al discloses:

regarding claim 12, claim 26, a pump control module configured to
 control a pump to control the pressure in the pressurized ink chamber (Column 3, Lines 8 – 18), for the purpose of circulating ink in the apparatus.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of a pump control module configured to control a pump to control the pressure in the pressurized ink chamber as taught by

Art Unit: 2853

Shima et al into the device Yoshimura, for the purpose of circulating ink in the apparatus.

Claims 14, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Mutou (U.S. Pat. 5,227,814)

Yoshimura et al discloses all of the claimed limitations except for the following:

regarding claim 14, claim 28, a media compensation module configured to modify the voltage level at the electrode arrangement to compensate for the variation in a speed of a print media on which the electrorheological ink is being printed

Mutou discloses:

- **regarding claim 14, claim 28,** a media compensation module configured to modify the voltage level at the electrode arrangement to compensate for the variation in a speed of a print media on which the electrorheological ink is being printed (Column 5, Lines 30 – 48), for the purpose of shortening recording time.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of a media compensation module configured to modify the voltage level at the electrode arrangement to compensate for the variation in a speed of a print media on which the electrorheological ink is being printed as taught by Mutou into the device of Yoshimura, for the purpose of shortening recording time.

Art Unit: 2853

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Wiedermer (U.S. Pat. 7,042,476)

Yoshimura discloses:

- **regarding claim 15,** a nozzle configured to discharge a drop of ink; an ink having an electrorheological composition, the ink configured to change viscosity in response to an electric field (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59)

Yoshimura does not disclose expressly the following:

- regarding claim 15, an arrangement of ring electrodes configured to create the electric field to control the rate of discharge of the drop of ink from the nozzle Wiedermer discloses:
- regarding claim 15, an arrangement of ring electrodes configured to create the electric field to control the rate of discharge of the drop of ink from the nozzle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 18-26), for the purpose of allowing high print speed at a higher print resolution

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of an arrangement of ring electrodes configured to create the electric field to control the rate of discharge of the drop of ink from the nozzle as taught by Wiedermer into the device of Yoshimura, for the purpose of allowing high print speed at a higher print resolution

Art Unit: 2853

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Wiedermer (U.S. Pat. 7,042,476) and Shibata (U.S. Pat. 6,296,347).

Yoshimura discloses:

- **regarding claim 16,** a nozzle array defining a plurality of nozzles, each nozzle defining a nozzle volume configured to contain an ink particle (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 32-43, Lines 50-59)

Yoshimura does not disclose expressly the following:

- **regarding claim 16,** a plurality of ring electrodes forming a plurality of ring electrode pairs, each of the plurality of ring electrodes circumscribing one of the plurality of nozzles and each ring electrode pair corresponding to one of the plurality of nozzles
- a power supply connected via at least one electrical lead to one of each of the plurality of ring electrodes in each ring electrode pair, the power supply configured to supply power to the connected ring electrodes, thereby creating an electric field in each nozzle volume at each electrode ring pair

Wiedermer discloses:

- regarding claim 16, a plurality of ring electrodes forming a plurality of ring electrode pairs, each of the plurality of ring electrodes circumscribing one of the plurality of nozzles and each ring electrode pair corresponding to one of the plurality of nozzles (Abstract; Column 3, Lines 18-26), for the purpose of allowing high print speed at a higher print resolution

Shibata discloses:

Art Unit: 2853

- regarding claim 16, a power supply connected via at least one electrical lead to one of each of the plurality of ring electrodes in each ring electrode pair, the power supply configured to supply power to the connected ring electrodes, thereby creating an electric field in each nozzle volume at each electrode ring pair (Column 4, Lines 54 – 65), for the purpose of incorporating a recording apparatus capable of eliminating unevenness in image density.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Wiedermer and Shibata into the device of Yoshimura, for the purpose of allowing high printing speed at a high print resolution and eliminating unevenness in image density.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291) in view of Minemoto et al (U.S. Pat. 6,224,193)

Yoshimura et al discloses:

- regarding claim 19, the electrode arrangement comprises one or more electrodes (26, 26A) circumscribing a portion of the nozzle (10) (Figure 2)

Yoshimura et al does not disclose expressly the following:

- **regarding claim 18,** creating an electric field comprises creating voltage difference between a first electrode and a second electrode

Minemoto et al discloses:

Art Unit: 2853

- **regarding claim 18,** creating an electric field comprises creating voltage difference between a first electrode and a second electrode (Column 4, Lines 19 – 33), for the purpose of ejecting from an ejection electrode with reliability and stability.

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of creating an electric field comprises creating voltage difference between a first electrode and a second electrode as taught by Minemoto et al into the device of Yoshimura, for the purpose of ejecting from an ejection electrode with reliability and stability.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please see the above rejections regarding Yoshimura et al (U.S. Pat. 5,903,291).

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Uhlenhake whose telephone number is (571) 272-5916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSU

September 6, 2008

STEPHEN MEIER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER